

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1,4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DA | ATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/742,415 | 12/22/20 | 000 | Michio Yanagi | 35.C14997 | 35.C14997 8025 | |
| 5514 | 7590 03 | 03/18/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | ICK CELLA H | SHAFER, RICKY D | | | | |
| | ELLER PLAZA C. NY 10112 | L | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | 2872 | | |
| | | | | D. MT. 14.11 ED. 00/10/000 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/742,415 | YANAGI ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Ricky D. Shafer | 2872 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no | | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I 36(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections. | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | | sidered but does No | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | _ | | | | | | |
| B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason(s) clearly set forth in the Office Action mailed on 19 November 2003.

6.... C.... (= Zx77